



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR CHHATTISGARH

W.P.No. 209 /2001.

Public Interest Litigation Under Article 226/227 of
Constitution of

B E T W E E N

PETITIONERS :



1. Mohd. Halim Aged about 46 years,
s/o late Mohd. Shafi,
R/o 705A Junior MIG Vaishalinagar,
Bhilai, Distt. Durg.
2. Sam George aged about 42 years,
S/o Shri V. George, R/o Behind
Union Bank of India, Power House,
Bhilai.

A N D

RESPONDENTS :


1. Union of India,
Through Secretary,
Ministry of Surface Transport,
New Delhi.
2. State of Chhattisgarh,
Through Secretary
Public Works Department
Dau Kalyan Singh Complex,
Raipur.
3. Central P.W.D.
New Delhi.
4. Engineer-in-Chief
Public Works Department,
(National Highway Division)
Raipur.

contd...2



(9)

2



5. Estate Officer,
Executive Engineer
National Highway Division, P.W.D.
Raipur.

6. Revenue Officer
Nagar Palika Nigam Bhilai,
Distt. Durg (Chhattisgarh).

Petition under Article 226/227 (Public Interest
Litigation) of Constitution of India.

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक

W.P. NO. 209/2001

सन् 2001

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	<u>11/02/2003</u> Smt. Meeraj Jaiswal, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Pankaj Shrivastava, Advocate appears on behalf of Shri A.S. Gaharwar, Sr. Central Government Standing Counsel for Union of India/respondents no. 1 and 2. Dr. N .K. Shukla, Additional Advocate General for respondents no. 3 to 6. This petition is in the nature of Public Interest Litigation filed in connection with widening of the National Highway No.6 which is passing through Powerhouse Chowk to Bhilai - 3. According to the petitioner since the proposed road was passing through the heart of the city, it was likely to cause a lot of hardship to the people especially poor people who are residing in the area, apart from the loss to shops and residential accommodation. Learned counsel for the petitioner has moved an application for withdrawal of the writ petition stating that the petitioners are not opposing the widening of the road but their	

[पीछे देखिये]

(165)

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक

62 P 209/2001
सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	point was that it should be done in such a way that interest of any individual should not be affected. It is stated by learned counsel for the State as well as Union of India that they have received instructions that the widening work has already been completed. Under the circumstances, we permit the petitioner to withdraw this writ petition and direct the respondents no. 1 and 2 to file the necessary report before this Court by 28/02/2003 and thereafter the Registry shall place this file in the Chamber along with the report for the Court's perusal. It is directed that the outstanding security which has already been deposited by the petitioners shall be refunded. This petition is dismissed as withdrawn. Consequently, I.A.No.76/2003 stands disposed of. Certified copy as per rules. Sd/- P. C. Naik Judge	
		Sd/- Fakhruddin Judge

[पीछे देखिये]